



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/584,301	05/31/2000	Frank P. Helms	1001-0119	3171

22120 7590 03/03/2004

ZAGORIN O'BRIEN & GRAHAM, L.L.P.  
7600B N. CAPITAL OF TEXAS HWY.  
SUITE 350  
AUSTIN, TX 78731

EXAMINER

HO, THANG H

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2188

DATE MAILED: 03/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Advisory Action**

Application No.

09/584,301

Applicant(s)

HELMS, FRANK P.

Examiner

Thang H Ho

Art Unit

2188

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.**

Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

**PERIOD FOR REPLY** [check either a) or b)]

- a) ☒ The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
- b) ☐ The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

1. ☐ A Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_\_\_. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
- (a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
- (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
- (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
- (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: \_\_\_\_\_

3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): \_\_\_\_\_.
4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5. ☒ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See continuation sheet.
6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7. ☒ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☒ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) objected to: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) rejected: 1-27, 31-33

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_\_\_\_\_

8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_
10. ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

Mano Padmanabhan  
3/2/04  
MANO PADMANABHAN  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXMR

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The rejections are maintained. Please refer to the previous Office Action, Page No. 5, mailed on December 16, 2003 for Examiner's responses to Applicant's arguments. Furthermore, with respect to "Baweja discloses that the clock enable signal CKE 330 is always supplied from the same location.", Examiner disagrees for the following reasons. Firstly, Baweja clearly discloses that the CKE signal is being supplied from two separate locations, wherein the CKE signal is being supplied by the suspend memory controller 220 during the sleep mode [ "The IN\_SUS signal 255 indicates to the first memory controller of the memory has been transferred to the suspend memory controller 220..." (column 8, lines 14-29)], and by the first memory controller during normal operation ["...transfer control from the second memory controller 220 to the first memory controller 210, and take SDRAM out of self-refresh mode..."(column 9, lines 6-19)]. Secondly, Baweja clearly discloses in Figure 3 that the CKE 330 signal is an output signal of a two inputs (SCKE and SDCKE 240) AND gate 320, wherein the SDCKE 240 and the SCKE signals are being supplied by the first memory controller 210 and the second memory controller 220, respectively. Figure 3 clearly shows that the output of the AND gate 320 can be supplied from either memory controllers by simply holding one of the input signals high.